S/N: 10/709,557 Reply to Office Action of November 19, 2009

Remarks

Claims 1-20 are pending in the application. Claims 1-8 were rejected and claims 9-20 were allowed. By this Amendment, claim 1 has been amended. Reconsideration of the claims is respectfully requested. No new matter has been added.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-8 were rejected under § 112, second paragraph. Applicant has amended claim 1 to clarify antecedent basis for the actuator, which cures the rejection of claims 1-7. The release cable recited in claim 8 is different than the first and second cables recited in claim 1. More specifically, the release cable is part of a manual window release system as discussed in paragraph beginning on page 8 at line 4 (see also reference number 82 in Figure 1). Applicant hopes this clarification addresses the Examiner's question regarding claim 8, thereby placing the application in condition for allowance.

Atty Dkt No. 81099481 (FMC 1749 PUSP)

S/N: 10/709,557 Reply to Office Action of November 19, 2009

Conclusion

Applicants have made a genuine effort to respond to the Examiner's objections and rejections in advancing the prosecution of this case. Applicants believe all formal and substantive requirements for patentability have been met and that this case is in condition for allowance, which

action is respectfully requested. Please charge any fees or credit any overpayments as a result of

the filing of this paper to our Deposit Account No. 02-3978.

Respectfully submitted,

RICHARD LUBAWAY

By /Matthew M. Mietzel/ Matthew M. Mietzel Reg. No. 46,929

Attorney for Applicant

Date: December 18, 2009

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.

1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor Southfield, MI 48075-1238

Phone: 248-358-4400 Fax: 248-358-3351